UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,472	11/14/2003	Charles A. Vacanti	VAC102CON(2)	1928	
23579 PATREA L. P.	7590 07/26/2007		EXAM	INER	
PABST PATENT GROUP LLP			GAMETT, DANIEL C		
	SQUARE, SUITE 1200 TREE STREET	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30361			1647		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•					
			07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/713,472	VACANTI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Daniel C. Gamett, PhD	1647

	Daniel C. Gamett, PhD	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Office.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauco
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>43,44,54-62</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			
		/David Romeo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1647	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 62 would be subject to rejection under 35 USC 112(2) because it lacks a verb and is therefore unclear. Amended claim 58 raises new issues of clarity or enablement as it is not clear that a hydrogel support structure alone could resist compressive and tensile stresses as required in claim 43.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments regarding prior art rejections of record rely on claim amendments that have not been entered.